

## REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application as amended.

Claims 1, 15 and 29 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1-41 are presented for examination.

### 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection

Claims 1-41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,151,598, (“Shaw”).

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

A computer-implemented method comprising:  
performing context-based processing of information items utilizing context items to produce context-processed information items, the context-based processing comprises one or more of context filtering and context prioritizing;  
implementing logic rules in connection with relevance and importance matrices associated with the information items to further perform the context-based processing; and  
dynamically adapting user services relating to the context-based processing to changing circumstances relating to the information items, wherein the information items are obtained from one or more of user-specific sources and non-user-specific sources.  
(emphasis added)

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner’s characterization of Shaw.

For example, Shaw discloses “means for communicating with a selective one or a plurality of user applications for receiving, prioritizing, maintaining, and *updating a selective one or a plurality of lists of subjects of interest for each user application.*”

(Abstract; emphasis added)

In contrast, claim 1, in pertinent part, recites “dynamically adapting user services relating to the context-based processing to changing circumstances relating to the information items, wherein the information items are obtained from one or more of user-

specific sources and non-user-specific sources.” (emphasis added) Shaw’s “updating a selective one or a plurality of *lists of subjects of interest for each user application*” is not the same as dynamically adapting user services relating to the context-based processing to changing circumstances relating to the information items as recited by claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Claims 15 and 29 contain limitations similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 15 and 29.

### **Conclusion**

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

### **Invitation for a Telephone Interview**

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

### **Request for an Extension of Time**

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.


### **Charge our Deposit Account**

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: October 23, 2006

  
Aslam A. Jaffery  
Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025-1030  
(303) 740-1980